Appendix c - Operational Services- Future Delivery of Service

Appendix c - Opera Project Manager: Peter Vinc	tional Services- Future Delive	ry of Service										establ	e columns a lishing the p	riorities	Important Note implications estin associated with t	nated are those
						S	ome us	seful	not	es 🕨			ling risk ma ent mitigatio		reduce/remove r or may not occur	isks which may
9 Xisk Title	Identification of areas where there are significant risks	Option	Date Added	Туре	Risk Category	Risk Owner	Linked Risk IDs	Likelihood sss		Risk Rating	Mitigation Required	RAG Status	Direction of Travel	Proximity (Timing)	COST to mitigate risk and/or recover from risk occurrence	COST based on likelihood
1 Governance	The Council loses control / governance of the service standards and performance	2,3+4	Мау	Organisational/Project	Quality				5	5 The agr ser	e Council would establish an eed service level with the vice provider and this would ustly monitored		•	L		
2 Insolvency	The company becomes insolvent, with discontinuity of service	2,3+4	Мау	Organisational/Project	Financial			1	5	5 mai scru per for	e Council operates its own risk nagement strategy with close utiny of the company's financial formance and defined triggers action to ensure continuity of vice.		•	L	Council would recover assets i.e vehicles and buildings	£3M
3 Timeline	Timescale and project slip for implementation	2,3+ 4	Мау	Organisational/Project	Financial			1	4	4 2)R me	lose monitoring of progress. Legular reporting and 3)liaison etings with selected service vider		•	S	Savings not delivered on time	£200,000
4 Experience	The Council has limited experience of establishing local authority controlled companies, transferring staff, agreeing pension arrangements and participating in the running of those companies	4	Мау	Organisational/Project	Resource			1	3	the wea 3 JV(knc wou ens	ertise will be brought in to fulfil se roles in any areas of akness C Partner will have specialist wledge and resources and uld work with the Council to urre a seamless transition to the varrangement		•	Μ	£10,000	£10,000
5 Financial risk	Efficiencies not delivered and Business does not grow which could result in expected savings not realised	1,2,3 +4	Мау	Organisational/Project	Financial			1	3	3 and	prity to focus on cost reduction l income generation from day e of the contract.		•	L	Up to £300,000	
6 JVC-Legality	Compliance with procurement regulations	4	May	Project	Quality			1	1		unsel advice sought- No risk king as a Teckal .		•			
7 Reputation	Damage to the Council's reputation if losses arise and/ or service standards are not maintained.	1,2,3+4	Мау	Organisational/Project	Quality			1	5	5 Clo ser	se and robust monitoring of vices and the service providers.		►	L		
8 Traded functions	Re-allocating of resources away from core services and into commercial functions in order to strengthen the company.	4	Мау	Organisational/Project	Quality			2	3	6 con con Ser clea out	poses and priorities of the npany are clearly defined in its istitution. vice Delivery Agreements are arly related to required comes, backed by performance irantees and rigorously nitored at Board level.		•	L		
9 Contract	Contract specification poorly written	2+3	Мау	Organisational/Project	Quality			2	4	8 skil	ke use of existing ls/resource and if required seek ernal support		►	L	External Resources	£15,000
10 Project Interdependencies	Over reliance on limited amount of internal resources	1,2,3+4	May	Project	Quality			5	2	10 with	arly dialogue and consultaton project leads 2) seek to vide additional resource		•	М		£15,000
11 Lack of Public consultation	Service users not given opportunity to express an opinion or influence change	2,3+4	May	Organisational/Project	Quality			1	5	Ma 5 skil	ke use of existing ls/resource and if required seek ernal support		•			£1,000
12 Supplier initiated termination of contract	Contractor/partner chooses to pull out of the contract.	2,3+4	May	Organisational/Project	Quality			2	5	10 allo	agreed break clause which ws for the development of mtive service delivery		•	L		£3M

	Appendix c - Operational Services- Future Delivery of Service These columns assist in establishing the priorities surrounding risk management and current mitigation actions Project Manager: Peter Vince Some useful notes >								Important Note - The cost implications estimated are those associated with the need to reduce/remove risks which may or may not occur.						
Risk ID	Risk Title	Identification of areas where there are significant risks	Option	Date Added	Туре	Risk Category	Risk Owner	Linked Risk IDs	Asses		nt Bu Mitigation Required		Proximity (Timing)	COST to mitigate risk and/or recover from risk occurrence	COST based on likelihood
13	Support from Unions	Lack of support may lead to potential delay in implementation	2,3+4	May	Organisational/Project	Resource			1	3	Commence early dialogue with union. (Unison)	•	S		
14	Timely submission of report(s)	Project slip	1,2,3+4	May	Organisational/Project	Timetable			1	5	Prioritisation of workload and timely submission	•	s		
15	Successful Challenge on decision	Delay to project mobilisation	2+3	May	Organisational/Project	Timetable			3	4 1	2 Robust review of tender returns	•	S		£100,000
16	Personalisation	Interdependency to ensure that HBC retains 'ownership' of the customer and that any future Op Service needs to integrate with HBC's chosen customer channels	1,2,3+4	June	Organisational	Quality			2	4	The council will continue to manage customer interaction	•	S		

Likelihood		
1	Very Unlikely	<10%
2	Unlikely	20%
3	Fairly Likely	40%
4	Likely	65%
5	Highly Likely	>90%

Impact1Negligible2Marginal3Substantial4Severe5Disastrous

Туре
Organisational
Project
Organisational/Project

Category

1	
2	
3	
4	

Direction of Travel

►	
•	

Overall Risk Boundaires							
Green	0 - 7						
Green Amber	8 - 14						
Red	15 - 25						

Action Owners							
Owner's Initials	Owner's Full Name	<i>Owner's Project Role Title</i>					
FB	Fred Bloggs	Project Manager					

Proximity (Ti	me)
IM I	- Immediate
S	- Short
M	- Medium
L	- Long

Timetable Resource Quality Financial

Unchanged

Increased

Decreased